UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/441,140	11/16/1999	BEKA SOLOMON	SOLOMON1REI	3910
	7590 06/11/201 D NEIMARK, P.L.L.C	EXAMINER		
624 NINTH ST		BALLARD, KIMBERLY		
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1649	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/11/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/441,140	SOLOMON, BEKA	
Examiner	Art Unit	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 May 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sa application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (wi for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1. periods:	s: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the th appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date of the	final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ON MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	LY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on whith have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorten set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ed statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on 10 May 2010. A brief in complete date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extra Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal				
AMENDMENTS					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pri- (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consider</li> </ol>					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	, ,				
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	m for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corres	ponding number of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	a attack at Nation of Nam Orana Part Among Jacob (PTOL 2014)				
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Se</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The </li> </ol>					
overcome in view of Applicant's amendments to the claims.					
non-allowable claim(s).	e if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the attack of the claims (a) is (ar will be) as follows:					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>177 and 210-228</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	ro or on the data of filing a Notice of Annual will not be entered				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffice was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	cient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Noti entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcoshowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was a contraction.	me <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a				
10. $\square$ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of th	e status of the claims after entry is below or attached.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/S13. Other:	SB/08) Paper No(s)				
10. [					
	/Elizabeth C. Kemmerer/				
	Elizabeth C. Kemmerer, Ph.D.				
	Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1646				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The request for reconsideration has been fully considered. The after-final amendment has been entered in full. Applicant's amendments to the claims are sufficient to overcome the rejection under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph (written description), in part. In particular, the portion of the rejection pertaining to antigen specificity and the particular immunogenic antigen used to produce the claimed antibody has been negated by the new amendments to the claims. Therefore, the written description rejection of claims 219-228 has been withdrawn in view of the amendments to the claims. However, the written description rejection of claims 177 and 210-218 is maintained for reasons of record.

With respect to the rejection under 35 USC 103 (Walker in view of Becker), it is noted that both Walker and Becker suggest the generic use of anti-amyloid antibodies for therapy, such as to use such antibodies by themselves or to deliever therapeutic agents to the brain. The ordinary skilled artisan at the time of filing would have recognized the 10D5 antibody as useful for such purposes in view of its ability to bind brain amyloid-beta with high affinity. Taken together, the teachings of the Walker and Becker references render obvious a genetically-modified 10D5 antibody for therapeutic or diagnostic use, and therefore the rejection of claims 177, 210-213, 215-217, 219-223 and 225-227 is maintained.

Applicant's arguments pertaining to the other rejections of record are substantially the same as previously presented, and therefore the rejections are maintained for the same reasons of record.